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 (July 1, 2014)This chart with composites of student course evaluations provides some comparisons of student reviews of courses taught since Fall 2005. A stated department goal is for faculty to have composite scores at least 3.00 on a five-point scale. Each year, I achieved that minimum, with one exception: evaluative and grading techniques, 2.63 in AY12. As a result, during my sabbatical leave, I reviewed and redefined the course products that would be graded and the processes for grading those products. AY14 was a pilot year for those grading techniques. I think I was a bit uncertain about the approaches that I was using, which was reflected in lower scores about clarity of student responsibilities, course organization, etc. I had not yet ended the previous assignments and grading, but the new processes had not been perfected yet. In an odd sense, I felt similar to my first year of teaching at MSU!! My plan is to convene a group of students early in AY15 to discuss grading techniques...

| Item | AY06 | AY07 | AY08 | AY09 | AY10 | AY11 | AY12 | AY13 | AY14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Course as a whole | 3.81 | 3.95 | 4.31 | 4.31 | 3.97 | 3.87 | 3.58 |  | 3.50 |
| Instructor's contribution to course | 4.11 | 4.12 | 4.46 | 4.18 | 4.11 | 4.04 | 3.80 |  | 3.20 |
| Use of class time | 3.78 | 3.87 | 4.42 | 3.97 | 3.70 | 3.79 | 3.17 |  | 3.00 |
| Instructor's interest in student learning | 4.24 | 4.45 | 4.44 | 4.52 | 4.25 | 4.18 | 4.22 |  | 3.70 |
| Amount learned in course | 3.71 | 3.83 | 4.10 | 4.14 | 3.85 | 3.81 | 3.33 |  | 3.30 |
| Evaluative \& grading techniques | 3.83 | 3.95 | 4.04 | 4.07 | 3.64 | 3.04 | 2.63 |  | 3.00 |
| Clarity of student responsibilities | 3.58 | 3.97 | 4.23 | 4.08 | 3.66 | 3.45 | 3.20 |  | 3.10 |
| Course organization | 3.85 | 4.09 | 4.32 | 4.03 | 3.75 | 3.52 | 3.41 |  | 3.10 |
| Instructor's contribution to discussion | 4.19 | 4.40 | 4.53 | 4.45 | 4.32 | 4.09 | 4.06 |  | 3.50 |
| Instructor's use of examples | 4.07 | 4.27 | 4.66 | 4.41 | 4.07 | 3.91 | 3.72 |  | 3.40 |
| Quality of questions raised by instructor | 3.98 | 4.18 | 4.51 | 4.32 | 3.87 | 3.88 | 3.84 |  | 3.40 |
| Student confidence in instructor's knowledge | 4.28 | 4.55 | 4.60 | 4.65 | 4.21 | 4.12 | 3.94 |  | 3.70 |
| Instructor's enthusiasm | 4.31 | 4.61 | 4.69 | 4.66 | 4.52 | 4.42 | 4.27 |  | 4.00 |
| Encouragement for student expression | 4.30 | 4.40 | 4.56 | 4.60 | 4.39 | 4.11 | 4.17 |  | 4.00 |
| Answers to student questions | 4.13 | 4.32 | 4.54 | 4.42 | 4.15 | 3.92 | 3.98 |  | 3.50 |

